Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment/U nit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
1515/2010/DA-S	1	1076807		110	Denham Court Road	DENHAM COURT	2565	Subdivision Only	LEP D8	LEPD8_7D1 - 7(d1) Environmental Protection (Scenic)	Clause 10 minimum allotment area 100ha	The subject application involves an objection to a development standard pursuant to the State Environmental Planning Policy No. 1 relating to allotment sizes and subdivision and in particular, land subdivided prior to the gazettal of LEP District 8 that requires a 100 hectare minimum lot size.	90%	Director General Dept of Planning	21/07/2011
												The proposal involved a boundary adjustment which would result in allotment sizes less than the minimum standard. The reason for the boundary adjustment wasto realign a boundary that traversed an existing approved dwelling. On selling either of the properties, the dwelling would require a physical adjustment or part demolition if the boundary was not adjusted from its current location. Given the merits of the case (including the fact that it is highly unlikely to adversely impact upon the amenity of the surrounding area in any way), it is considered that the proposal is permissible with consent and is worthy of support. Pursuant to clause 7 of the SEPP, the Director General of Planning & Infrastructure notified Council on 11 July 2011 of his concurrence to the variation to the development standard contained within clause 10(2) of Campbelltown Local Environment al Plan - District 8 (Central Hills Land), to allow the proposal.			
701/2011/DA-CW	3	817793		5	Culverston Road	MINTO	2566	Infrastructure	LEP 2002	4(a) - General Industry Zone, 4(a) - General Industry Zone	Clause 37 Setbacks within industrial areas	The objective of the setback control is to limit industrial buildings and associated structures built up to the edge of the railway corridor to enable suitable opportunities for landscaping and screen planting. The proposed development protudes only slightly into the 30 metre setback corridor where the siding interconnects onto the proposed freight line.	20%	Council	24/08/2011
												Accordingly, it is recommended that the SEPP 1 variation be supported on the basis that the objective of the 30m setback to the rail corridor is protected, despite construction of the rail siding there will remain suitable opportunities for landscape screening adjacent to the rail corridor. Further to this the siding will remove any future potential for the construction of buildings within the 30m setback area.			