ATTACHMENT 1

Options for wood smoke control in NSW: Discussion paper

Submission form: Wood smoke control options for NSW

An **interactive version** of this submission form is available at www.environment.nsw.gov.au/woodsmoke/WoodSmokeOptions.htm

Please note that the information you provide in this submission form will only be used to produce summaries of the views of stakeholders and assist the NSW Government in the development of additional options to control wood smoke.

Please complete the tables below and refer to the glossary for the definitions of terms used in the survey.

	Stakeholder		Your location in NSW	Name of organisation (if applicable)
Member of community/public				
Industry	Retailer			
	Manufacturer			
	Importer			
	Installer			
	Solid fuel supplier			
	Gas or electricity supplier			
Local cou	ncil	×		Campbelltown City
				Council
State age	ncy			
NGO/rese	arch/academic			

Current wood smoke control framework

1. How effective do you think the current wood smoke controls are for managing wood smoke (refer to Section 2.3 of the discussion paper)?

ineffective	Moderate	Effective	Highly effective	I don't know
		х		

Additional wood smoke control options

Wood smoke Control Option 1: Permitting the installation of only low-emission, high-efficiency wood heaters in designated areas – wood heaters would have maximum emissions of no more than 2–3 grams of particles for each kilogram of wood burnt and operate at a minimum efficiency standard of 65–70%. (These requirements are stricter than the existing Australian standards.)

2. Would you support implementation of this wood smoke control option in your local area?

1 Strongly oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
	×					

3. What impact would implementation of this wood smoke control option have on your business or operations?

1 Highly negative	2 Negative	3 Neutral	4 Positive	5 Highly positive	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
						X

4. Currently emissions from all wood heaters sold in NSW must not exceed 4 grams of particulate matter for each kilogram of wood burnt. However they are not required to meet an operational efficiency level. If you could modify the emissions and efficiency of wood heaters sold in NSW, what levels would you like to see?

a. Emissions level

4 grams (current requirement for AS4013:1999 certified wood heaters)	х
3 grams	
2 grams	
1 gram	
Other, please specify	

b. Efficiency level

55%	Х
60% (currently only half the wood heaters sold in Australia meet this requirement)	
65%	
70% or higher	
Other, please specify	

5. Any further comments on this wood smoke control option?					

Wood smoke Control Option 2: Removal of open fireplaces by the owners of dwellings in designated areas before the sale of the property – this would require owners to either block out fireplaces rendering them inoperable or convert the space for gas or electric heating.

6. Would you support implementation of this wood smoke control option in your local area?

1 Strongly oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
	X					

7. What impact would implementation of this wood smoke control have on your business or operations?

1 Highly negative	2 Negative	3 Neutral	4 Positive	5 Highly positive	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
					Х	

8. Any further comments on this wood smoke control option?

Resource intensive fo	or compliance regulation. Which agend	cy would enforce? Council or conveyancing
function?		

Wood smoke Control Option 3: Removal of older or high-emission wood heaters in designated areas before the sale of dwellings.

9. Would you support implementation of this wood smoke control option in your local area?

1 Strongly oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
	×					

10. What impact would implementation of this wood smoke control option have on your business or operations?

1 Highly negative	2 Negative	3 Neutral	4 Positive	5 Highly positive	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
					Х	

Options for wood smoke control in NSW: Discussion paper

		or compliance	regulation. V	hich agency w	rould ciliorec	council or co	inveyancing
ctio	n?						
			i on 4: Disal	low the insta	allation of o	oen fireplac	es in
sig	gnated area	as					
		support impl	lementation	of this wood	smoke cor	ntrol option i	in your
cal	area?						
	1	2	3 Neutral	4	5	l don't	Doesn't
	Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neutrai	Agree	Strongly agree	know	apply to me
		×					
L							
v	What impac	t would imp	lementation	of this wood	d smoke co	atrol option	have on
		or operations		Of this wood	a silloke co	ili or option	nave on
Г	1	2	3	4	5	T	Doesn't
- 1		_	_		1 -	I don't	Doesiii
	Highly	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Highly		apply
	Highly negative	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Highly positive	know	apply to me
-	0,	Negative	Neutral	Positive	, ,		
	0,	Negative	Neutral	Positive	, ,	know	
. A	negative			Positive I smoke con	positive	know	
	negative	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
ор	negative Any further	comments of	on this wood		positive trol option?	know X	to me
rop	negative	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
гор	negative Any further	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
rop	negative Any further	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
rop	negative Any further	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
rop	negative Any further	comments of	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	know X	to me
len	negative Any further riate only in ges.	comments o	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	X X	to me
len	negative Any further riate only in ges.	comments of highly polluted	on this wood	I smoke con	positive trol option?	X X	to me
lens sig	negative Any further riate only in ges. d smoke (gnated area	comments of highly polluted Control Optias	on this wood denvironment ion 5: Disal	I smoke con	positive trol option? nt and resource	know X ing would pre	sent major
oo sig	negative Any further riate only in ges. d smoke (gnated area	comments of highly polluted Control Optias	on this wood denvironment ion 5: Disal	I smoke con	positive trol option? nt and resource	know X ing would pre	sent major
oo sig	d smoke (gnated area?	comments of highly polluted Control Optias support implements	ion 5: Disal	I smoke con	positive trol option? at and resource allation of well smoke con	know X ing would pre	sent major
oo sig	d smoke (gnated area?	comments of highly polluted Control Optias support implemental 2	ion 5: Disal	I smoke conts. Enforcements. Enforcements. Enforcements of this wood	positive trol option? at and resource allation of we smoke core	know X ing would pre ood heaters ntrol option i	sent major
doo esig	d smoke (gnated area?	comments of highly polluted Control Optias support implements	ion 5: Disal	I smoke con	positive trol option? at and resource allation of well smoke con	know X ing would pre ood heaters	sent major

16. What impact would implementation of this wood smoke control option have on your business or operations?

1 Highly negative	2 Negative	3 Neutral	4 Positive	5 Highly positive	l don't know	Doesn't apply to me
					Х	

vernment Areas.						
Vood smoke (
ombustion hea overnment are		is wood hea	aters and op	en fireplaces	s, within the	local
8. Would you		ementation	of this woo	d smoke con	trol option i	n vour
cal area?	support imp	ementation	Of time wood	a silloke con	dor option i	ii youi
1 Strangly	2	3 Neutral	4	5 Strongly	l don't	Doesn
Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neutrai	Agree	Strongly agree	know	apply to me
	×					
ـــــــــــ 9. What impac	t would imp	lementation	of this woo	d smoke con	trol ontion	nave on
our business o			or triis woo	a sinoke con	itioi optioni	lave on
1	2	3	4	5	I don't	Doesn
Highly negative	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Highly positive	know	apply to me
3					х	
	L				L	
			l smoke con	trol option?		
0. Any further			on one			

Possible revised wood smoke control framework

21. Given the information provided in the discussion paper, to what extent would you support a framework of optional controls to reduce wood smoke (refer to Section 3)?

1 Strongly oppose	2 Oppose	3 Neutral	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree	I don't know
			×		

22. Any further comments on a revised wood smoke control framework?

Council is supportive of an approach that seeks voluntary compliance to adopt cleaner burning appliances. In this regard it intends to seek funding as part of its response to the Discussion Paper to implement a community education program which is effectively coupled with a targeted rebate system to remove poorly performing wood heaters.